

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/777,780	•	02/13/2004	Luc Leroy	L7307.04101	3090
24257	7590	02/10/2005	EXAMINER		
		MILLER & MOSH	ALI, MOHAMMAD M		
1615 L ST SUITE 850	•	V		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHING	WASHINGTON, DC 20036			3744	
				DATE MAILED: 02/10/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A1:4(-)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/777,780	LEROY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mohammad Ali	3744				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 F	ebruary 2004.					
·— ·	s action is non-final.					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers .	·					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 13 February 2004 is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objecte drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 02/13/04.		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3744

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The process claims do not point out to any step.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Application/Control Number: 10/777,780

Art Unit: 3744

Claims 1, 4-7 and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maier-Laxhuber et al., (5,415,012) in view of Blaizat (FR 2820196). Maier-Laxhuber et al., disclose an insulated trolley 30 equipped with removable unit/tray 31 that can be inserted in and removed from the trolley 30 and a single piece 32 that can produce cold inside the trolley 30. Maier-Laxhuber et al., disclose the invention substantially as claimed as stated above. See Fig.1. However, Maier Laxhuber et al., does not disclose a regeneratable adsorbent. Blaizat teaches the use of regeneration adsorbent (zeolite) in an aircraft for the purpose of regenerating the adsorbent on the ground (outside the aircraft). See the enclosed translated abstract. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the insulated trolley of Maier-Laxhuber et al., in view of Blaizat such that a regeneratable adsorbent could be provided in order to cool the contents (food) in an economical way.

Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maier-Laxhuber et al., in view of Blaizat as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Fischer et al., (5,513,500). Maier-Laxhuber et al., in view of Blaizat disclose the invention substantially as claimed as stated above. However, Maier-Laxhuber et al., in view of Blaizat do not disclose plurality of trolleys. Fischer et al., teach the use of plurality of trolleys 8A, 8B in a cooling system for the purpose of cooling food in an aircraft. See Fig. 2 and the abstract. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the insulated trolley of Maier-Laxhuber et al., in view of Blaizat and further in view of Fischer et al.,

Application/Control Number: 10/777,780

Art Unit: 3744

such that plurality of trolleys could be provided in order to cool and supply food in the aircraft.

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mohammad Ali whose telephone number is 703-308-5032. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Esquivel Denise can be reached on 703-308-2597. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Md Mohammad M. Ali February 8, 2005